

A theory of generalized functions based on holomorphic semi-groups**Part B: Analyticity spaces, trajectory spaces and their pairing**

by J. de Graaf

Technological University, Department of Mathematics, Eindhoven, the Netherlands

Communicated by Prof. N.G. de Bruijn at the meeting of September 26, 1983

CHAPTER 1. THE ANALYTICITY SPACE $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{A}}$

In a complex separable Hilbert space with inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathbf{X}}$ we consider an unbounded non-negative self-adjoint operator \mathcal{A} . This operator will be fixed throughout chapters 1, 2, 3. Since \mathcal{A} is self-adjoint it admits a spectral resolution

$$\mathcal{A} = \int_0^{\infty} \lambda d\mathcal{E}_{\lambda}.$$

For details on such spectral resolutions, see [Y], [LS].

By

$$\int_a^b \psi(\lambda) d\mathcal{E}_{\lambda}, \quad 0 \leq a < b \leq \infty,$$

we mean

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \chi_{a,b}(\lambda) \psi(\lambda) d\mathcal{E}_{\lambda}$$

with

$$\chi_{a,b}(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{on } (-1, b] \text{ if } a=0 \\ 1 & \text{on } (a, b] \text{ if } a>0 \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases}$$

we define

$$e^{-t\mathcal{A}} = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} d\mathcal{E}_\lambda, \quad t \in \mathbb{C}.$$

For $t \in \mathbb{R}$ the operator $e^{-t\mathcal{A}}$ is self-adjoint, $e^{-t\mathcal{A}}$ is bounded iff $\operatorname{Re} t \geq 0$. $e^{-t\mathcal{A}}$ is unitary iff $\operatorname{Re} t = 0$. Further $e^{-t\mathcal{A}}$ is invertible and $\forall t \in \mathbb{C} (e^{-t\mathcal{A}})^{-1} = e^{t\mathcal{A}}$.

On \mathbb{C}^+ , i.e. the set of $t \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re} t > 0$, the operators $e^{-t\mathcal{A}}$ establish a one-parameter semi-group of bounded injective operators on X .

We now introduce our test space $\mathbf{S}_{X,\mathcal{A}}$. This space equals the set of analytic vectors for the operator \mathcal{A} . Cf. [Ne].

DEFINITION 1.1.

$$\mathbf{S}_{X,\mathcal{A}} = \bigcup_{\operatorname{Re} t > 0} \{e^{-t\mathcal{A}}x | x \in X\} = \bigcup_{\operatorname{Re} t > 0} e^{-t\mathcal{A}}(X).$$

$\mathbf{S}_{X,\mathcal{A}}$ is a dense linear subspace of X . From the semi-group properties the following equalities immediately follow for any $\delta > 0$

$$\mathbf{S}_{X,\mathcal{A}} = \bigcup_{t > 0} e^{-t\mathcal{A}}(X) = \bigcup_{0 < t < \delta} e^{-t\mathcal{A}}(X) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}, 0 < (1/n) < \delta} e^{-(1/n)\mathcal{A}}(X).$$

Each of the spaces $e^{-t\mathcal{A}}(X)$, $t > 0$, can be considered as a Hilbert space. The inner product is

$$(u, v)_t = (e^{t\mathcal{A}}u, e^{t\mathcal{A}}v)_X = \int_0^\infty e^{2\lambda t} d(\mathcal{E}_\lambda u, v).$$

The completeness of $e^{-t\mathcal{A}}(X)$ follows from the closedness of $e^{t\mathcal{A}}$. $e^{-t\mathcal{A}}(X)$ consists of exactly those $u \in X$ for which

$$\int_0^\infty e^{2\lambda t} d(\mathcal{E}_\lambda u, u) < \infty.$$

If locally no confusion is likely to arise we suppress as many subscripts as possible. In chapters 1, 2 and 3 we shall write consistently: \mathbf{S} for $\mathbf{S}_{X,\mathcal{A}}$, X_t for $e^{-t\mathcal{A}}(X)$, (\cdot, \cdot) for $(\cdot, \cdot)_X$.

DEFINITION 1.2. *The strong topology on \mathbf{S} is the finest locally convex topology on \mathbf{S} for which the natural injections $i_t: X_t \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$, $t > 0$, are all continuous.*

In other words: \mathbf{S} is made into a locally convex topological vector space by imposing the inductive limit topology with respect to the family $\{X_t\}_{t > 0}$. Cf. [SCH] Ch. II.6. Since the natural injections $X_\tau \rightarrow X_t$, $\tau > t > 0$, are all continuous the inductive limit topology is already brought about by the family $\{X_{1/n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. A subset $U \subset \mathbf{S}$ is open iff for every $t > 0$ the set $i_t^{-1}(U) = U \cap X_t$ is open in X or, equivalently, iff for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the set $U \cap X_{1/n}$ is open in $X_{1/n}$. The inductive limit here is more complicated than in the case of the Schwartz test function spaces because our inductive limit is not strict! A closed subset of

\mathbf{X}_τ when considered as a set in \mathbf{X}_t , $0 < t < \tau$, is not necessarily closed. We shall see that open sets in \mathbf{S} are always unbounded.

We introduce some notations:

- \mathbb{B} denotes the set of everywhere finite real valued Borel functions on \mathbb{R} such that $\forall t > 0$ the function $\psi(x)e^{-tx}$ is bounded on $[0, \infty)$.
- $\mathbb{B}_+ \subset \mathbb{B}$ contains those ψ for which there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\psi(x) \geq \varepsilon > 0$, for all $x \geq 0$.

Let $\psi \in \mathbb{B}$. Then $\psi(\mathcal{A})$ is defined by

$$\psi(\mathcal{A}) = \int_0^\infty \psi(\lambda) d\mathcal{E}_\lambda.$$

The domain of $\psi(\mathcal{A})$, denoted by $\mathcal{D}(\psi(\mathcal{A}))$, consists of exactly those $x \in \mathbf{X}$ with

$$\int_0^\infty \psi^2(\lambda) d(\mathcal{E}_\lambda x, x) < \infty.$$

It follows that \mathbf{S} is contained in the domain of each operator $\psi(\mathcal{A})$.

Further: $\forall \psi \in \mathbb{B}$, $\forall t > 0$ the operators $\psi(\mathcal{A})e^{-t\mathcal{A}}$ are bounded and self-adjoint. The sets of operators corresponding to the sets \mathbb{B} and \mathbb{B}_+ will be denoted by $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathbb{B}_+(\mathcal{A})$, respectively. The operators in $\mathbb{B}_+(\mathcal{A})$ are all strictly positive.

DEFINITION 1.3. For each $\psi \in \mathbb{B}_+$ we introduce the (semi-) norm \mathbf{p}_ψ by

$$\mathbf{p}_\psi(u) = \|\psi(\mathcal{A})u\| = \left\{ \int_0^\infty \psi(\lambda)^2 d(\mathcal{E}_\lambda u, u) \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad u \in \mathbf{S}.$$

Further for $\psi \in \mathbb{B}_+$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ we define the set

$$\mathcal{U}_{\psi, \varepsilon} = \{u \in \mathbf{S} \mid \|\psi(\mathcal{A})u\| < \varepsilon\}.$$

The next theorem is very fundamental. It tells that the strong topology in $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{A}}$ is generated by the semi-norms \mathbf{p}_ψ .

THEOREM 1.4.

- I. $\forall \psi \in \mathbb{B}_+$, $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ $\mathcal{U}_{\psi, \varepsilon}$ is a convex, balanced, absorbing open set in the strong topology. In other words the semi-norms \mathbf{p}_ψ are continuous.
- II. Let a convex set $\Omega \subset \mathbf{S}$ be such that for each $t > 0$ $\Omega \cap \mathbf{X}_t$ contains a neighbourhood of 0 in \mathbf{X}_t . Then Ω contains a set $\mathcal{U}_{\psi, \varepsilon}$ with $\psi \in \mathbb{B}_+$ and $\varepsilon > 0$.

PROOF. I. A standard inner product argument shows that $\mathcal{U}_{\psi, \varepsilon}$ is convex, balanced and absorbing. For the terminology see [Y] Ch. I.1. We now show that for each $t > 0$ $\mathcal{U}_{\psi, \varepsilon} \cap \mathbf{X}_t$ is an open set in \mathbf{X}_t .

By definition

$$\mathcal{U}_{\psi, \varepsilon} \cap \mathbf{X}_t = \{u \mid u \in \mathbf{X}_t, \|\psi(\mathcal{A})u\| < \varepsilon\}.$$

Because of $\|\psi(\mathcal{A})u\| \leq \|\psi(\mathcal{A})e^{-t\mathcal{A}}\| \|e^{t\mathcal{A}}u\|$ and the boundedness of $\psi(\mathcal{A})e^{-t\mathcal{A}}$, the norm \mathbf{p}_ψ is continuous on \mathbf{X}_t .

II. Introduce the operator $\mathcal{P}_n = \int_{n-1}^n d\mathcal{E}_\lambda$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let r_n be the radius of the largest open ball in $\mathcal{P}_n(\mathbf{X})$ which fits in $\Omega \cap \mathcal{P}_n(\mathbf{X})$. So

$$r_n = \sup \{ \varrho | [u \in \mathcal{P}_n(\mathbf{X}) \wedge \|\mathcal{P}_n u\| < \varrho] \Rightarrow u \in \mathcal{P}_n(\Omega) \}.$$

Next define $\chi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as follows

$$\chi(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \lambda < 0 \\ 2 \max \left(\frac{n^2}{r_n}, 1 \right) & \text{if } \lambda \in (n-1, n], n \in \mathbb{N} \\ \chi(\frac{1}{2}) & \text{if } \lambda = 0. \end{cases}$$

We prove $\chi \in \mathbb{B}_+$. Let $t > 0$. Then there is $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\{u | \int_0^\infty e^{\lambda t} d(\mathcal{E}_\lambda u, u) < \varepsilon^2\} \subset \Omega \cap \mathbf{X}_{\frac{1}{2}t}$$

because $\Omega \cap \mathbf{X}_{\frac{1}{2}t}$ contains an open neighbourhood of 0.

Thus we find that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $r_n > \varepsilon e^{-\frac{1}{2}nt}$. Hence for $\lambda \in (n-1, n]$

$$\chi(\lambda) e^{-\lambda t} < 2e^{-(n-1)t} \max \left(\frac{n^2}{r_n}, 1 \right) \leq 2 \left(\frac{n^2}{\varepsilon} e^{-\frac{1}{2}nt} + 1 \right) e^t.$$

So

$$\sup_{\lambda \geq 0} e^{-\lambda t} \chi(\lambda) < \infty.$$

We now show

$$(*) \quad \|\chi(\mathcal{A})u\| < 1 \Rightarrow u \in \Omega.$$

Suppose $u \in \mathbf{X}_t$ for some $t > 0$. Then $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \|\mathcal{P}_n u\|_t^2 < \infty$ and for some τ , $0 < \tau < t$

$$(*) \quad \|\mathcal{P}_n u\|_\tau^2 \leq e^{-2(n-1)(t-\tau)} \|u\|_\tau^2.$$

Further, because of our assumption (*)

$$\|\mathcal{P}_n u\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \min(n^{-2} r_n, 1).$$

Therefore $2n^2 \mathcal{P}_n u \in \Omega \cap \mathbf{X}_\tau$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

In \mathbf{X}_τ we represent u by

$$u = \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{2n^2} (2n^2 \mathcal{P}_n u) + \left(\sum_{n=N}^\infty \frac{1}{2n^2} \right) u_N$$

with

$$u_N = \left(\sum_{j=N}^\infty \frac{1}{2j^2} \right)^{-1} \sum_{n=N}^\infty \mathcal{P}_n u.$$

With (*) we calculate

$$\|u_N\|_\tau^2 \leq 4N^4 \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \|\mathcal{P}_n u\|_\tau^2 \leq 4N^4 e^{(-2N+2)(t-\tau)} \|u\|_t^2.$$

Since $\Omega \cap X_\tau$ contains an open neighbourhood of 0 for N sufficiently large $u_N \in \Omega \cap X_\tau$.

Finally we gather that u is a sub-convex combination of elements in $\Omega \cap X_\tau$ which is a convex set. A posteriori it is clear that $u \in \Omega \cap X_t$. \square

REMARK. Similar to the proof of part I one proves that the sets

$$\bar{\mathcal{U}}_{\psi, \varepsilon} = \{u \in \mathcal{S} \mid \|\psi(\mathcal{A})u\| \cap \varepsilon\}, \quad \psi \in \mathbb{B}_+, \quad \varepsilon > 0,$$

are closed.

DEFINITION 1.5. A subset $\mathfrak{B} \subset \mathcal{S}$ is called bounded if for each 0-neighbourhood \mathcal{U} in \mathcal{S} there exists a complex number λ such that $\mathfrak{B} \subset \lambda \mathcal{U}$. Cf. [SCH] 1.5.

The next theorem characterizes bounded sets in \mathcal{S} .

THEOREM 1.6. A subset $\mathfrak{B} \subset \mathcal{S}$ is bounded iff

$$\exists t > 0 \quad \mathfrak{B} \subset X_t$$

and

$$\exists M > 0 \quad \forall u \in \mathfrak{B} \quad \|u\|_t \leq M.$$

PROOF. \Leftarrow) Let $\psi \in \mathbb{B}_+$ and $u \in \mathfrak{B}$. Then

$$\|\psi(\mathcal{A})u\| \leq \|\psi(\mathcal{A})e^{-t\mathcal{A}}\| \|e^{t\mathcal{A}}u\| \leq M \|\psi(\mathcal{A})e^{-t\mathcal{A}}\|.$$

\Rightarrow) Taking $\psi = 1$ we observe that \mathfrak{B} is a bounded set in X . Denote its bound by ϱ . Suppose the statement were not true, then

$$\forall t > 0 \quad \forall M > 0 \quad \exists u \in \mathfrak{B} \quad \|e^{t\mathcal{A}}u\| > M.$$

By induction we define two sequences of real numbers $\{t_n\}$, $\{N_n\}$, $t_n \downarrow 0$, $N_n \uparrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and a sequence $\{u_n\} \subset \mathfrak{B}$ as follows:

$n=1$: Choose $t_1 > 0$ and $M=2$. Then take $N_1 > 0$ and $u_1 \in \mathfrak{B}$ such that

$$\int_0^{N_1} e^{2t_1\lambda} d(\mathcal{E}_\lambda u_1, u_1) > 1.$$

$n=l+1$: Suppose

$$\forall t \leq \frac{1}{2}t_l \quad \forall u \in \mathfrak{B} \quad \forall K > 0 \quad \int_{N_l}^{N_l+K} e^{2t\lambda} d(\mathcal{E}_\lambda u, u) \leq l+1$$

is true. Then \mathfrak{B} is a bounded set in \mathbf{X}_t , $t \leq \frac{1}{2}t_l$, because

$$\int_0^\infty e^{2\lambda t} d(\mathcal{E}_\lambda u, u) = \int_0^{N_l} + \int_{N_l}^\infty \leq \varrho^2 e^{2tN_l} + l + 1.$$

If not, choose $t_{l+1} \leq \frac{1}{2}t_l$, $u_{l+1} \in \mathfrak{B}$ and N_{l+1} such that

$$\int_{N_l}^{N_{l+1}} e^{2t_{l+1}\lambda} d(\mathcal{E}_\lambda u_{l+1}, u_{l+1}) > l + 1.$$

If our sequence terminates for a certain value of n then \mathfrak{B} is a bounded set.

If not, define the function $\eta(\lambda)$ on $(0, \infty)$ by

$$\eta(\lambda) = e^{\lambda t_n} \text{ on the interval } [N_{n-1}, N_n], \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Since $\eta(\lambda)e^{-\lambda t}$ is a bounded function for $t > 0$ we have $\eta \in \mathbb{B}_+$. Then because of the assumption the sequence $\eta(\mathcal{A})u_n$ should be bounded. However

$$\|\eta(\mathcal{A})u_n\|^2 = \int_0^\infty \eta^2(\lambda) d(\mathcal{E}_\lambda u_n, u_n) > n + 1.$$

Contradiction! □

In the next theorem we give a characterization for the convergence of sequences $\{u_n\}$ in the strong topology of \mathbf{S} .

THEOREM 1.7. $u_n \rightarrow 0$ in the strong topology of \mathbf{S} iff

$$\exists t > 0 \quad \{u_n\} \subset \mathbf{X}_t \text{ and } \|u_n\|_t \rightarrow 0.$$

PROOF. \Leftarrow) For any $\psi \in \mathbb{B}_+$ we have $\|\psi(\mathcal{A})u_n\| \leq \|\psi(\mathcal{A})e^{-t\mathcal{A}}\| \|e^{t\mathcal{A}}u_n\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ because $\psi(\mathcal{A})e^{-t\mathcal{A}}$ is a bounded operator on \mathbf{X} .

\Rightarrow) Suppose $u_n \rightarrow 0$. Then $\forall \psi \in \mathbb{B}_+ \quad \|\psi(\mathcal{A})u_n\| \rightarrow 0$.

We conclude that the sequence $\{u_n\}$ is a bounded set. So $\exists \theta > 0 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \quad \|u_n\|_\tau \leq \theta$. Further, taking $\psi = 1$, it is clear that $\|u_n\|_0 \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. From this we derive

$$(*) \quad \forall \alpha > 0 \quad \forall t > 0 \quad \int_0^\alpha e^{2\lambda t} d(\mathcal{E}_\lambda u_n, u_n) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Now we show $\|u_n\|_t \rightarrow 0$ for any $t < \tau$.

$$(*) \quad \|u_n\|_t^2 = \int_0^L e^{2\lambda t} d(\mathcal{E}_\lambda u_n, u_n) + \int_L^\infty e^{2\lambda t} d(\mathcal{E}_\lambda u_n, u_n).$$

The second integral can be estimated uniformly

$$\begin{aligned} \int_L^\infty e^{2\lambda t} d(\mathcal{E}_\lambda u_n, u_n) &= \int_L^\infty e^{-2\lambda(\tau-t)} e^{2\lambda\tau} d(\mathcal{E}_\lambda u_n, u_n) \leq \\ &\leq e^{-2L(\tau-t)} \int_L^\infty e^{2\lambda\tau} d(\mathcal{E}_\lambda u_n, u_n) \leq e^{-2L(\tau-t)} \theta^2. \end{aligned}$$

By taking L sufficiently large the second term in $(*)$ can be made smaller than $\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon$ uniformly in n .

The first term in $(*)$ tends to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$ because of $(*)$. \square

THEOREM 1.8.

- I. Suppose $\{u_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in the strong topology of \mathbf{S} . Then $\exists t > 0$ $\{u_n\} \subset \mathbf{X}_t$ and $\{u_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in \mathbf{X}_t .
- II. \mathbf{S} is sequentially complete, i.e. every Cauchy sequence converges to a limit point.

PROOF. I. An argument similar to the proof of the preceding section.

II. Follows from I and the completeness of \mathbf{X}_t . \square

Next we characterize compact sets in \mathbf{S} .

THEOREM 1.9. A subset $\mathfrak{R} \subset \mathbf{S}$ is compact iff

$$\exists t > 0 \mathfrak{R} \subset \mathbf{X}_t \text{ and } \mathfrak{R} \text{ is compact in } \mathbf{X}_t.$$

PROOF. \Leftarrow) Let $\{\Omega_\alpha\}$ be an open covering of \mathfrak{R} in \mathbf{S} . Then $\{\Omega_\alpha \cap \mathbf{X}_t\}$ is an open covering of \mathfrak{R} in \mathbf{X}_t . Since \mathfrak{R} is supposed to be compact in \mathbf{X}_t there exists a finite subcovering $\{\Omega_{\alpha_i}\}$, $1 \leq i \leq N$, with $\bigcup_{i=1}^N (\Omega_{\alpha_i} \cap \mathbf{X}_t) \supset \mathfrak{R}$. But then certainly $\bigcup_{i=1}^N \Omega_{\alpha_i} \supset \mathfrak{R}$.

\Rightarrow) Since \mathfrak{R} is compact it is bounded and therefore it is a bounded set with bound θ in \mathbf{X}_τ for some $\tau > 0$. We show that \mathfrak{R} is compact in \mathbf{X}_t whenever $t < \tau$. Consider a sequence $\{u_n\} \subset \mathfrak{R}$. There exists a converging subsequence $\{u_{n_j}\}$ with $u_{n_j} \rightarrow u \in \mathfrak{R}$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$, convergence in \mathbf{S} . Then also $u_{n_j} \rightarrow u$ in \mathbf{X} -sense. Put $u_{n_j} - u = v_j$. $\{v_j\}$ is a bounded sequence in \mathbf{X}_τ and $\|v_j\| \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Now we find ourselves in exactly the same position as in the proof of the only-if-part of Theorem 1.7. We conclude $\|v_j\|_t \rightarrow 0$ whenever $0 \leq t < \tau$. Our subsequence $\{u_{n_j}\}$ converges to u in \mathbf{X}_t -sense. This shows the compactness of \mathfrak{R} in \mathbf{X}_t for $0 \leq t < \tau$. \square

The next theorem gives an alternative description of the space $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{A}}$. The simple proof is omitted.

THEOREM 1.10. Let $u \in \mathbf{X}$ be such that $u \in \mathcal{D}(\psi(\mathcal{A}))$ for all $\psi \in \mathbb{B}_+$. Then $u \in \mathbf{S}$. In other words

$$\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{A}} = \bigcap_{\psi \in \mathbb{B}_+} \mathcal{D}(\psi(\mathcal{A})).$$

\square

In order to make a link with the literature on topological vector spaces we now describe the properties of our space \mathbf{S} by using the standard terminology of topological vector spaces. [SCH].

The terminology is explained in the proof.

THEOREM 1.11.

- I. $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{A}}$ is complete.
- II. $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{A}}$ is bornological.
- III. $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{A}}$ is barreled.
- IV. $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{A}}$ is Montel iff for every $t > 0$ the operator $e^{-t\mathcal{A}}$ is compact as an operator on \mathbf{X} .
- V. $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{A}}$ is nuclear iff for every $t > 0$ the operator $e^{-t\mathcal{A}}$ is a HS (= Hilbert-Schmidt) operator on \mathbf{X} .

PROOF. I. Let $\{x_\alpha\}$ be a Cauchy net. The α 's belong to a directed set D . For each neighbourhood $\Omega \ni 0$ there is $\gamma \in D$ such that whenever $\alpha > \gamma$ and $\beta > \gamma$ one has $x_\alpha - x_\beta \in \Omega$. We now prove that there exists an $x \in \mathbf{S}$ such that $x_\alpha \rightarrow x$ in the strong topology. Let x be the limit of x_α in \mathbf{X} -sense. For each $\psi \in \mathbb{B}_+$ the net $\{\psi(\mathcal{A})x_\alpha\}$ converges in \mathbf{X} -sense to a limit x_ψ . Because of the closedness of $\psi(\mathcal{A})$ one has $x_\psi \in \mathcal{D}(\psi(\mathcal{A}))$ and $x_\psi = \psi(\mathcal{A})x$. The result follows by applying Theorem 1.10.

II. Every circled convex subset $\Omega \subset \mathbf{S}$ that absorbs every bounded subset $\mathfrak{B} \subset \mathbf{S}$ has to be a neighbourhood of 0. Let \mathfrak{B}_t be the open unit ball in \mathbf{X}_t , $t > 0$. \mathfrak{B}_t is bounded in \mathbf{S} , therefore for some $\varepsilon > 0$ one has $\varepsilon \mathfrak{B}_t \subset \Omega \cap \mathbf{X}_t$.

We conclude that for every $t > 0$ the set $\Omega \cap \mathbf{X}_t$ contains an open neighbourhood of 0. But then according to Theorem 1.4.II Ω contains a set $\mathfrak{U}_{\psi, \varepsilon}$.

III. A barrel \mathfrak{B} is a subset which is radial, convex, circled and closed. We have to prove that every barrel contains an open neighbourhood of the origin. Because of the definition of the inductive topology $\mathfrak{B} \cap \mathbf{X}_t$ has to be a barrel in \mathbf{X}_t in the \mathbf{X}_t -topology, for every $t > 0$. Since \mathbf{X}_t is a Hilbert space there exists an open neighbourhood of the origin Σ with $\Sigma \subset \mathfrak{B} \cap \mathbf{X}_t$. Again the conditions of Theorem 1.4.II are satisfied so that \mathfrak{B} contains a set $\mathfrak{U}_{\psi, \varepsilon}$.

IV. We have to prove that every closed and bounded subset of \mathbf{S} is compact iff for every $t > 0$ the operator $e^{-t\mathcal{A}}$ is compact.

\Rightarrow) Suppose $e^{-t\mathcal{A}}$ is compact for every $t > 0$. Let \mathfrak{B} be a closed and bounded subset in \mathbf{S} . Then $\mathfrak{B} \subset \mathbf{X}_t$ for some $t > 0$ and \mathfrak{B} is closed and bounded in \mathbf{X}_t . See Theorem 1.6. Take τ , $0 < \tau < t$. We claim that \mathfrak{B} is a compact set in \mathbf{X}_τ . To see this, consider the following commutative diagram where \hookrightarrow denotes the natural injection:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{X}_t & \hookrightarrow & \mathbf{X}_\tau \\ \uparrow e^{-t\mathcal{A}} & & \uparrow e^{-\tau\mathcal{A}} \\ \mathbf{X}_0 & \xrightarrow{e^{-(t-\tau)\mathcal{A}}} & \mathbf{X}_0 \end{array}$$

The vertical arrows are isomorphisms. So \hookrightarrow is a compact map and \mathfrak{B} is compact in \mathbf{X}_τ . Consider an open covering $\{C_\alpha\}$ of \mathfrak{B} in \mathbf{S} , then $\{C_\alpha \cap \mathbf{X}_\tau\}$ is an open covering of \mathfrak{B} in \mathbf{X}_τ . Because of the compactness of \mathfrak{B} in \mathbf{X}_τ there is

a finite subcovering:

$$\mathfrak{B} \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^N (C_{\alpha_i} \cap X_t).$$

But then certainly $\mathfrak{B} \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^N C_{\alpha_i}$, which shows the compactness of \mathfrak{B} .

\Rightarrow) Suppose \mathbf{S} is Montel, i.e. each closed and bounded set is compact. Let $\{u_n\}$ be a bounded sequence in \mathbf{X} . Pick any fixed $t > 0$. Consider the sequence $\{e^{-t\mathcal{A}}u_n\}$. Consider the closure in \mathbf{S} of this sequence. This closure is a bounded set and, according to our assumption, compact. So $\{e^{-t\mathcal{A}}u_n\}$ contains a converging subsequence in \mathbf{S} : $e^{-t\mathcal{A}}u_{n_j} \rightarrow v$. This sequence certainly converges in \mathbf{X} . So $e^{-t\mathcal{A}}$ must be a compact operator.

V. \Leftarrow) Since $e^{-t\mathcal{A}}$ is HS and self-adjoint for all $t > 0$ there exists an orthonormal basis $\{e_n\}$ of eigenvectors of \mathcal{A} in \mathbf{X} . We order the eigenvalues with repetition according to multiplicity $0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_3 \leq \dots$. We have $\lambda_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus we get the representation

$$e^{-t\mathcal{A}}u = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-t\lambda_n}(u, e_n)e_n$$

where $\{e^{-t\lambda_n}\}$ is an l_2 -sequence for each $t > 0$ and hence an l_1 -sequence. So $e^{-t\mathcal{A}}$ is a nuclear operator for each $t > 0$.

\mathbf{S} is a nuclear space iff for every semi-norm \mathbf{p}_ψ , $\psi \in \mathbb{B}_+$, there is a semi-norm \mathbf{p}_χ , $\chi \in \mathbb{B}_+$, $\chi \geq \psi$, such that the canonical injection $\mathcal{J}: \hat{\mathbf{S}}_{\mathbf{p}_\chi} \rightarrow \hat{\mathbf{S}}_{\mathbf{p}_\psi}$ is nuclear. Cf. [Y]. Here the Banach space $\hat{\mathbf{S}}_{\mathbf{p}}$ is the completion of \mathbf{S} with respect to the norm \mathbf{p} .

The canonical injection can be written

$$\mathcal{J}u = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \chi^{-1}(\lambda_j)\psi(\lambda_j)(\chi^2(\mathcal{A})u, \chi^{-1}(\mathcal{A})e_j)_0 \psi^{-1}(\lambda_j)e_j.$$

Note that $\{\chi^{-1}(\mathcal{A})e_j\}$ and $\{\psi^{-1}(\mathcal{A})e_j\}$ are orthogonal bases in the Hilbert spaces $\hat{\mathbf{S}}_{\mathbf{p}_\chi}$ respectively $\hat{\mathbf{S}}_{\mathbf{p}_\psi}$.

Now suppose there exists $\sigma \in \mathbb{B}_+$ such that $\sigma^{-1}(\mathcal{A})$ is a nuclear operator. If we take $\chi = \sigma\psi$ then the canonical injection can be written

$$\mathcal{J}u = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sigma^{-1}(\lambda_j)(\chi^2(\mathcal{A})u, \chi^{-1}(\mathcal{A})e_j)_0 \psi^{-1}(\lambda_j)e_j.$$

Since $\{\sigma^{-1}(\lambda_j)\}$ is an l_1 -sequence \mathcal{J} is a nuclear operator.

It only remains to show that $\sigma \in \mathbb{B}_+$ with the desired properties can be constructed. To this end define integers $N(n)$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, such that $N(0) = 0$, $N(n+1) > N(n)$, $\lambda_{N(n)+1} > \lambda_{N(n)}$ and

$$\sum_{j=N(n)}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_j/n} < \frac{1}{n^2}.$$

Next define the sequence $\{v_k\}$ by

$$v_k = e^{-\lambda_k/n}, \quad N(n-1) < k \leq N(n), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

It is clear that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} v_k$ is convergent.

Define a step function $\sigma \in \mathbb{B}_+$ by setting $\sigma(\lambda) = e^{\lambda_k/n}$ on the interval

$$\left(\frac{\lambda_{k-1} + \lambda_k}{2}, \frac{\lambda_k + \lambda_{k+1}}{2} \right], \quad k=2, 3, \dots, \text{ and } \sigma(\lambda) = e^{\lambda_1} \text{ on } \left[0, \frac{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}{2} \right].$$

$\sigma^{-1}(\mathcal{A})$ is nuclear because it is self-adjoint, it has discrete spectrum, and the eigenvalues v_k establish an l_1 -sequence.

\Rightarrow) Suppose \mathcal{S} is nuclear. There exists $\psi \in \mathbb{B}_+$ such that the injection $\hat{\mathcal{S}}_{\psi} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is a nuclear map. Therefore $\psi^{-1}(\mathcal{A})$ must be a nuclear operator and consequently also HS. But then $e^{-t\mathcal{A}} = \psi^{-1}(\mathcal{A})[\psi(\mathcal{A})e^{-t\mathcal{A}}]$ must be HS since the operator between $[\quad]$ is bounded for every $t > 0$. \square

CHAPTER 2. THE TRAJECTORY SPACE $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{A}}$

We now introduce our space of trajectories $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{A}}$. The elements in this space are candidates for becoming “generalized functions” (or “distributions”).

DEFINITION 2.1. $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{A}}$ denotes the complex vector space which consists of all mappings $F: (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ such that

- (i) F can be extended to an analytic function on the open right half-plane \mathbb{C}^+ .
- (ii) $\forall t, \tau \in \mathbb{C}^+ e^{-t\mathcal{A}}F(\tau) = F(t + \tau)$.

Such a mapping F will be called a trajectory. If for some $\xi > 0$ $F_1(\xi) = F_2(\xi)$ then $F_1 = F_2$. This follows immediately from the semi-group and analyticity properties. We shall use the notation $e^{-t\mathcal{A}}F$ for $F(t)$. It is immediate that $\forall t > 0$ $e^{-t\mathcal{A}}F \in \mathcal{S}$. In chapters 2 and 3 $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{A}}$ is abbreviated by \mathcal{T} .

DEFINITION 2.2. The embedding $\text{emb}: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ is defined by $(\text{emb } x)(t) = e^{-t\mathcal{A}}x$ for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and $t > 0$.

Sometimes we shall omit the symbol emb and loosely consider \mathcal{X} as a subset of \mathcal{T} . Thus $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{X} \subset \mathcal{T}$.

The question arises whether there exist trajectories $F \in \mathcal{T}$ such that $F(t)$ does not converge or, even $\|F(t)\| \uparrow \infty$ if $t \downarrow 0$. The answer is affirmative: simply take $x \in \mathcal{X} \setminus \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})$ and $F(t) = \mathcal{A}e^{-t\mathcal{A}}x$. More general examples are obtained by taking $\psi \in \mathbb{B}$, $u \in \mathcal{X}$ and defining $G(t) = \psi(\mathcal{A})e^{-t\mathcal{A}}u$, $t > 0$. The next theorem shows that all elements of \mathcal{T} arise in this way.

THEOREM 2.3. For every $F \in \mathcal{T}$ there exists $w \in \mathcal{X}$ and $\psi \in \mathbb{B}^+$ such that $F(t) = \psi(\mathcal{A})e^{-t\mathcal{A}}w$ for every $t > 0$. We write $F = \psi(\mathcal{A})w$.

PROOF. Let $F \in \mathcal{T}$. Let \mathcal{P}_n denote the projection $\int_{n-1}^n d\mathcal{E}_{\lambda}$. Then $e^{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{P}_n F(1) \in \mathcal{X}$. Put $\alpha_n = \|e^{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{P}_n F(1)\|$.

Let ψ be defined by

$$\psi(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \max(\alpha_n, 1) & \text{for } \lambda \in (n-1, n], \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \\ 1 & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases}$$

We shall show that $\psi \in \mathbb{B}_+$. Let $t > 0$. Then for $\lambda \in (n-1, n]$ with $\alpha_n \geq 1$ one estimates

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi(\lambda)e^{-\lambda t}|^2 &\leq |\alpha_n e^{-(n-1)t}|^2 = e^{-2(n-1)t} \int_{n-1}^n e^{2\lambda} d(\mathcal{E}_\lambda F(1), F(1)) \leq \\ &\leq e^{2t} \int_{n-1}^n d(\mathcal{E}_\lambda F(t), F(t)) \leq e^{2t} \|F(t)\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Take $w = \psi^{-1}(\mathcal{A})e^{\mathcal{A}}F(1)$. □

DEFINITION 2.4. *The strong topology in \mathcal{T} is the topology induced by the semi-norms ϱ_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\varrho_n(F) = \left\| F\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \right\|_{\mathcal{X}}.$$

In other words a base of open 0-neighbourhoods is given by

$$\mathfrak{B}_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_N} = \left\{ F \mid \left\| F\left(\frac{1}{j}\right) \right\| < c_j, c_j > 0, 1 \leq j \leq N, N \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

REMARK. The strong topology is equivalent to the topology of uniform convergence on compacta in \mathbb{C}^+ .

THEOREM 2.5.

- I. *\mathcal{T} endowed with the strong topology is a Fréchet space, i.e. it is metrizable and complete.*
- II. *A base of open sets $\{\sigma_{\mathfrak{U}, t}\}$ is given by $\sigma_{\mathfrak{U}, t} = \{F \mid F(t) \in \mathfrak{U}\}$, \mathfrak{U} open in \mathcal{X} , $t > 0$ and fixed.*
(In words: the set of trajections which pass at t through \mathfrak{U} .)
Each open set in \mathcal{T} is a denumerable union of sets of this type.

PROOF. I. The topology is generated by a countable number of semi-norms. Hence \mathcal{T} is metrizable. See [Y].

Further, since \mathcal{T} is metrizable it is complete iff each Cauchy sequence converges. Let $\{F_m\}$ be a Cauchy sequence in \mathcal{T} .

Then, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\{F_m(1/n)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in \mathcal{X} which converges to an element $h_{1/n} \in \mathcal{X}$. For $v > n$ one has

$$F_m\left(\frac{1}{v}\right) = e^{((1/n)-(1/v))\mathcal{A}} F_m\left(\frac{1}{n}\right).$$

Since both sides converge and $e^{((1/n)-(1/v))\mathcal{A}}$ is a closed operator we have

$$h_{1/n} \in D(e^{((1/n)-(1/v))\mathcal{A}}) \text{ and } h_{1/v} = e^{((1/n)-(1/v))\mathcal{A}} h_{1/n}.$$

Now we define

$$F(t) = e^{-(t-(1/v))\mathcal{A}} h_{1/v}, \text{ Re } t \in \left[\frac{1}{v}, \frac{1}{v-1} \right), v \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Clearly $F \in \mathcal{T}$ and is the limit of $\{F_m\}$.

II. It is enough to prove that the semi-norms $F \mapsto \|F(t)\|$ are continuous. This follows simply from the estimate

$$\|F(t)\| \leq \|e^{-(t-(1/n))\mathcal{A}}\| \left\| F\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \right\|$$

for $0 < (1/n) < t$. □

THEOREM 2.6. *emb (\mathcal{S}) is everywhere dense in \mathcal{T} .*

PROOF. For any $F \in \mathcal{T}$ the sequence $\text{emb}(F(1/n))$ converges to F in the strong topology. □

THEOREM 2.7. *A set $\mathfrak{B} \subset \mathcal{T}$ is bounded iff for every $t > 0$ the set $\{F(t) | F \in \mathfrak{B}\}$ is bounded in \mathcal{X} .*

PROOF. \Rightarrow) Each continuous semi-norm has to be bounded on \mathfrak{B} . Therefore $\varrho_n(F) = \|F(1/n)\|$ is a bounded function on \mathfrak{B} .

Because of the boundedness of $e^{-\tau\mathcal{A}}$ for each $\tau > 0$ it then follows that $\{F(t) | F \in \mathfrak{B}\}$ is a bounded set for each fixed $t > 0$.

\Leftarrow) Trivial. □

THEOREM 2.8. *A set $\mathfrak{B} \subset \mathcal{T}$ is bounded iff there exists a bounded set $\mathfrak{B} \subset \mathcal{X}$ and $\psi \in \mathbb{B}_+$ such that $\mathfrak{B} = \psi(\mathcal{A})(\mathfrak{B})$.*

PROOF. \Leftarrow) For each $t > 0$ the set $\psi(\mathcal{A})e^{-t\mathcal{A}}(\mathfrak{B})$ is bounded in \mathcal{X} .

\Rightarrow) See [ETh], Ch. II cor. 2.5. It is not difficult to give an ad hoc proof in the spirit of Theorem 2.3. □

THEOREM 2.9. *A set $\mathfrak{K} \subset \mathcal{T}$ is compact iff for each $t > 0$ the set $\{F(t) | F \in \mathfrak{K}\}$ is compact in \mathcal{X} .*

PROOF. \Rightarrow) If \mathfrak{K} is compact then each sequence $\{F_n\} \subset \mathfrak{K}$ has a convergent subsequence. This means that in the set $\mathfrak{K}_t = \{F(t) | F \in \mathfrak{K}\}$, t fixed, each sequence has a convergent subsequence, which says that \mathfrak{K}_t is compact in \mathcal{X} .

\Leftarrow) Let $\{F_n\}$ be a sequence in \mathfrak{K} . We must prove the existence of a converging subsequence. Consider the sequence $\{F_n(1)\} \subset \mathfrak{K}_1 \subset \mathcal{X}$. \mathfrak{K}_1 is compact, therefore a convergent subsequence in \mathfrak{K}_1 exists. Denote it by $\{F_n^1(1)\}$. The sequence $\{F_n^1(\frac{1}{2})\}$ has a convergent subsequence in $\mathfrak{K}_{\frac{1}{2}}$. Denote it by $\{F_n^2(\frac{1}{2})\}$.

Proceeding in this way we arrive at sequences $\{F_n^m\} \subset \mathfrak{K}$ such that $\{F_n^m\} \subset \{F_n^l\}$ for $m > l$ and $\{F_n^m(1/m)\}$ converges to an element in $\mathfrak{K}_{1/m}$. The "diagonal sequence" $\{F_n^n\}$ has the property that $\{F_n^n(t)\}$ converges to $F(t) \in \mathfrak{K}_t$. But then also $F_n^n \rightarrow F$ in the strong topology. □

THEOREM 2.10. *A set $\mathfrak{K} \subset \mathcal{T}$ is compact iff there exists a compact set $\mathfrak{B} \subset \mathcal{X}$ and $\psi \in \mathbb{B}_+$ such that $\mathfrak{K} = \psi(\mathcal{A})(\mathfrak{B})$.*

PROOF. \Leftarrow) For each $t > 0$ the operator $\psi(\mathcal{A})e^{-t\mathcal{A}}$ is bounded. Therefore $\psi(\mathcal{A})e^{-t\mathcal{A}}(\mathfrak{B})$ is a compact set in X .

\Rightarrow) See [ETh], Ch. II cor. 2.13.

In the last theorem of this chapter we describe the properties of our topological vector space T in the standard terminology of topological vector spaces [SCH].

THEOREM 2.11.

- I. $T_{X,\mathcal{A}}$ is bornological.
- II. $T_{X,\mathcal{A}}$ is barreled.
- III. $T_{X,\mathcal{A}}$ is Montel iff for every $t > 0$ the operator $e^{-t\mathcal{A}}$ is compact as an operator on X .
- IV. $T_{X,\mathcal{A}}$ is nuclear iff for every $t > 0$ the operator $e^{-t\mathcal{A}}$ is a HS operator on X .

PROOF. I, II. T is bornological and barreled because it is metrizable. For a simple proof see [SCH] II.8.

III. \Rightarrow) Suppose T is Montel. Take a bounded sequence $\{x_n\} \subset X$. The sequence $\{F_n\}$ defined by $F_n(t) = e^{-t\mathcal{A}}x_n$ is a bounded set in T . Because of our assumption the closure of this set is compact. But then, by theorem 2.9, for each $t > 0$ the sequence $F_n(t)$ contains a converging subsequence. This shows the compactness of $e^{-t\mathcal{A}}$.

\Leftarrow) Suppose $e^{-t\mathcal{A}}$ is compact for every $t > 0$. Let \mathfrak{B} be a closed and bounded set. Then the set $\mathfrak{B}_t = \{F(t) | F \in \mathfrak{B}, t > 0 \text{ and fixed}\}$ is bounded. Since $\mathfrak{B}_{t+\tau} = e^{-t\mathcal{A}}(\mathfrak{B}_t)$ it follows that $\mathfrak{B}_{t+\tau}$ is precompact in X . Now take any sequence $\{G_n\} \subset \mathfrak{B}$. Because of the precompactness of each \mathfrak{B}_t the “diagonal procedure” of the proof of theorem 2.9 yields a converging subsequence of $\{G_n\}$. This subsequence converges to an element in \mathfrak{B} because \mathfrak{B} is closed. We conclude that \mathfrak{B} is compact.

IV. \Rightarrow) Suppose T is a nuclear space. Take $n \in \mathbb{N}$. There exists a seminorm $\varrho_m \geq \varrho_n$ such that the natural injection $\hat{T}_{\varrho_m} \rightarrow \hat{T}_{\varrho_n}$ is nuclear.

This natural injection is realized by the map $e^{-(1/n-1/m)\mathcal{A}}$ which must therefore be nuclear. It follows that $e^{-t\mathcal{A}}$ is HS for each $t > 0$.

\Leftarrow) Suppose for each $t > 0$ $e^{-t\mathcal{A}}$ is HS. Because of the semi-group property $e^{-t\mathcal{A}}$ is also nuclear. Let $m > n$. The natural injection $\hat{T}_{\varrho_m} \rightarrow \hat{T}_{\varrho_n}$ is realized by $e^{-(1/n-1/m)\mathcal{A}}$ and is therefore nuclear. This is enough for T to be nuclear. \square

CHAPTER 3. THE PAIRING OF $S_{X,\mathcal{A}}$ AND $T_{X,\mathcal{A}}$

We now consider a pairing of S and T . It turns out that S and T can be considered as each others strong duals.

DEFINITION 3.1. On $S_{X,\mathcal{A}} \times T_{X,\mathcal{A}}$ we introduce a sesquilinear form by

$$\langle u, F \rangle_X = (e^{t\mathcal{A}}u, F(t))_X.$$

Note that this definition makes sense for $t > 0$ sufficiently small. Note also that because of the semi-group property and self-adjointness of $e^{-t\mathcal{A}}$ the

definition does not depend on the choice of t . We remark that $\langle u_0, F \rangle = 0$ for all $F \in \mathcal{T}$ implies $u_0 = 0$ and $\langle u, F_0 \rangle = 0$ for all $u \in \mathcal{S}$ implies $F_0 = 0$. These two facts easily follow by taking $F = \text{emb}(u_0)$, respectively the denseness of $\text{emb}(\mathcal{S})$ in \mathcal{T} .

THEOREM 3.2.

- I. For each $F \in \mathcal{T}$ the linear functional $\langle u, F \rangle$, which maps \mathcal{S} onto \mathbb{C} , is continuous in the strong topology of \mathcal{S} .
- II. For each strongly continuous linear functional l on \mathcal{S} there exists $G \in \mathcal{T}$ such that $l(u) = \langle u, G \rangle$ for all $u \in \mathcal{S}$.
- III. For each $v \in \mathcal{S}$ the linear functional $\overline{\langle v, G \rangle}$, which maps \mathcal{T} onto \mathbb{C} , is continuous in the strong topology of \mathcal{T} .
- IV. For each strongly continuous linear functional m on \mathcal{T} there exists $w \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $m(F) = \overline{\langle w, F \rangle}$ for all $F \in \mathcal{T}$.

PROOF. I. The function $u \mapsto \langle u, F \rangle$ is continuous on \mathcal{S} iff for all $t > 0$ it is continuous in the X_t -norm when restricted to this subspace. Indeed

$$|\langle u, F \rangle| = |(e^{t\mathcal{A}}u, F(t))| \leq \|e^{t\mathcal{A}}u\| \|F(t)\| = \|F(t)\| \|u\|_t.$$

II. For fixed $t > 0$ the mapping $e^{-t\mathcal{A}}: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ is continuous. Therefore the mapping $l(e^{-t\mathcal{A}}x): \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is continuous. From Riess' theorem follows the existence of $b_t \in \mathcal{X}$ such that $l(e^{-t\mathcal{A}}x) = (x, b_t)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$. If we replace x by $e^{-t\mathcal{A}}y$, $y \in \mathcal{X}$, it follows from the self-adjointness of $e^{-t\mathcal{A}}$ that $b_{t+\tau} = e^{-\tau\mathcal{A}}b_t$. We take G such that $G(t) = b_t$. Then $l(u) = \langle u, G \rangle$ for all $u \in \mathcal{S}$.

III. Since \mathcal{T} is metrizable it is sufficient to prove continuity for sequences in \mathcal{T} . Let $G_n \rightarrow 0$ in the strong topology. Then for sufficiently small t we have $\overline{\langle v, G_n \rangle} = \overline{(e^{t\mathcal{A}}v, G_n(t))} \rightarrow 0$ because $G_n(t) \rightarrow 0$ in \mathcal{X} -sense.

IV. Take $\psi \in \mathbb{B}_+$. Take a sequence $\{x_n\} \subset \mathcal{X}$, $x_n \rightarrow 0$ in \mathcal{X} . Define $\psi(\mathcal{A})x_n \in \mathcal{T}$ by $(\psi(\mathcal{A})x_n)(t) = \psi(\mathcal{A})e^{-t\mathcal{A}}x_n$. Since $\psi(\mathcal{A})e^{-t\mathcal{A}}$ is a bounded operator $\psi(\mathcal{A})x_n \rightarrow 0$ strongly in \mathcal{T} . By taking $\psi = 1$ we conclude first that the restriction of m to \mathcal{X} has the Riess representation $m(x) = (x, \theta)$ for some $\theta \in \mathcal{X}$. Secondly we conclude that $m(\psi(\mathcal{A})x)$ is a continuous function on \mathcal{X} for each $\psi \in \mathbb{B}_+$. Using the self-adjointness of $\psi(\mathcal{A})$ it follows that $\theta \in \mathcal{D}(\psi(\mathcal{A}))$ for each $\psi \in \mathbb{B}_+$. But then, by Theorem 1.10, $\theta \in \mathcal{S}$. Finally, as \mathcal{X} is dense in \mathcal{T} the representation $m(F) = (F, \theta) = \overline{\langle \theta, F \rangle}$ is valid for all $F \in \mathcal{T}$. \square

DEFINITION 3.3. The weak topology on \mathcal{S} is the topology induced by the semi-norms $\mathbf{p}_F(u) = |\langle u, F \rangle|$, $F \in \mathcal{T}$.

The weak topology on \mathcal{T} is the topology induced by the semi-norms $\mathbf{q}_v(G) = |\langle v, G \rangle|$, $v \in \mathcal{S}$.

A simple standard argument, [CH] II § 22, shows that the weakly continuous functionals on \mathcal{S} are all obtained by pairing with elements of \mathcal{T} and vice versa. Together with Theorem 3.2 it follows then that \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{T} are reflexive both in the strong and the weak topology.

In the next theorem we show that in both spaces \mathbf{S} and \mathbf{T} weakly bounded sets are strongly bounded.

THEOREM 3.4 (Banach-Steinhaus).

- I. Let $\Xi \subset \mathbf{T}$ be such that for each $g \in \mathbf{S}$ there exists $M_g > 0$ such that for every $F \in \Xi$ one has $|\langle g, F \rangle| \leq M_g$, then for each $t > 0$ there exists $C_t > 0$ such that for every $F \in \Xi$ one has $\|F(t)\| \leq C_t$. So weakly bounded sets in \mathbf{T} are strongly bounded.
- II. Let $\Theta \subset \mathbf{S}$ be such that for every $F \in \mathbf{T}$ there exists $M_F > 0$ such that for every $f \in \Theta$ one has $|\langle f, F \rangle| \leq M_F$, then there exists $\tau > 0$ and $c > 0$ such that $\Theta \subset \mathbf{X}_\tau$ and $\|f\|_\tau < c$ for all $f \in \Theta$. So weakly bounded sets in \mathbf{S} are strongly bounded.

PROOF. I. From the assumption it follows that for each $h \in \mathbf{X}$ and each $t > 0$ there exists a constant $M_{h,t} > 0$ such that for every $F \in \Xi$, $|\langle e^{-t\mathcal{A}}h, F \rangle| \leq M_{h,t}$ or $|(h, F(t))| \leq M_{h,t}$. From the Banach-Steinhaus theorem in Hilbert space it then follows that the set $\{F(t) | F \in \Xi\}$, t fixed, is a bounded set in \mathbf{X} .

II. Let $\psi \in \mathbb{B}_+$. Let $w \in \mathcal{D}(\psi(\mathcal{A}))$. Then $\psi(\mathcal{A})^2 w$, defined by $(\psi(\mathcal{A})^2 w)(t) = \psi(\mathcal{A})^2 e^{-t\mathcal{A}} w$ belongs to \mathbf{T} . From our assumption it follows that for every $f \in \Theta$

$$|\langle f, \psi(\mathcal{A})^2 w \rangle| = |(\psi(\mathcal{A})f, \psi(\mathcal{A})w)| < M_{\psi, w}.$$

From the Banach-Steinhaus theorem in Hilbert space it then follows that Θ is a bounded set in the Hilbert space \mathbf{X}_ψ , i.e. the completion of \mathbf{S} with respect to the norm $\|\psi(\mathcal{A}) \cdot\|$. But this means that Θ is bounded in \mathbf{S} , since each seminorm \mathbf{p}_ψ , $\psi \in \mathbb{B}_+$, is bounded on it. \square

In the next two theorems we give characterizations of weak convergence of sequences both in \mathbf{S} and \mathbf{T} .

THEOREM 3.5. $u_n \rightarrow 0$ in the weak topology of \mathbf{S} iff

$$\exists t > 0 \{u_n\} \subset \mathbf{X}_t \text{ and } \forall w \in \mathbf{X}_t (w, u_n)_t \rightarrow 0.$$

PROOF. Weak convergence of $\{u_n\}$ in \mathbf{X}_t means weak convergence of $e^{t\mathcal{A}}u_n$ in \mathbf{X} .

\Leftarrow) Let $F \in \mathbf{T}$. $\langle u_n, F \rangle = (e^{t\mathcal{A}}u_n, F(t))$. Since $e^{t\mathcal{A}}u_n \rightarrow 0$ weakly in \mathbf{X} and $F(t) \in \mathbf{X}$, it follows that $\langle u_n, F \rangle \rightarrow 0$.

\Rightarrow) First we remark that weak convergence in \mathbf{S} implies weak convergence in \mathbf{X} . Therefore for any $w \in \mathbf{X}$ any $L > 0$, $t > 0$,

$$\int_0^L e^{\lambda t} d(\mathcal{C}_\lambda u_n, w) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

$\{u_n\}$ is a bounded set in \mathbf{S} . Therefore $\exists \tau > 0 \exists \theta > 0 \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \|u_n\|_\tau \leq \theta$. We shall prove that $u_n \rightarrow 0$ weakly in \mathbf{X}_τ . Denote the projection operator $\int_L^\infty d\mathcal{C}_\lambda$ by Π_L ,

Π_L commutes with $e^{\tau \mathcal{A}}$. Taken $w \in X$, then

$$(*) \quad (e^{\tau \mathcal{A}} u_n, w) = \int_0^L e^{\lambda t} d(\mathcal{C}_\lambda u_n, w) + (\Pi_L e^{\tau \mathcal{A}} u_n, \Pi_L w).$$

We have $\|\Pi_L e^{\tau \mathcal{A}} u_n\| \leq \theta$ and $\|\Pi_L w\| \rightarrow 0$ as $L \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore if we take L large enough the second term in $(*)$ is smaller than $\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon$ uniformly for all n . As we have just seen the first term in $(*)$ can be made smaller than $\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon$ by taking n large enough. This finishes the proof. \square

COROLLARY 3.6.

- I. Strong convergence of a sequence in \mathbf{S} implies its weak convergence.
- II. Any bounded sequence in \mathbf{S} has a weakly converging subsequence.

THEOREM 3.7. $F_n \rightarrow 0$ in the weak topology of \mathbf{T} iff

$$\forall t > 0 \quad \forall v \in X \quad (v, F_n(t))_0 \rightarrow 0.$$

PROOF. \Rightarrow) For any $v \in X$, $\langle e^{-t \mathcal{A}} v, F_n \rangle = (v, F_n(t))_0 \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

\Leftarrow) For any $\varphi \in \mathbf{S}$ and t sufficiently small

$$\langle \varphi, F_n \rangle = (e^{t \mathcal{A}} \varphi, F_n(t)) \rightarrow 0$$

because $e^{t \mathcal{A}} \varphi \in X$. \square

COROLLARY 3.8.

- I. Strong convergence of a sequence in \mathbf{T} implies its weak convergence.
- II. Any bounded sequence in \mathbf{T} has a weakly convergent subsequence. Cf. Theorem 2.9.

It looks reasonable to conjecture that the weak topology on \mathbf{S} is the inductive limit topology with respect to the Hilbert spaces X_t , now endowed with the weak topology. It is easily seen that the weak topology on \mathbf{T} is induced by the semi-norms $\varrho_{t,v}$, $t > 0$, $v \in X$, and $\varrho_{t,v}(F) = |(v, F(t))|$. We will not pursue these things further here. The next theorem deals with the question: When does weak convergence of a sequence imply its strong convergence?

THEOREM 3.9. The following three statements are equivalent.

- I. For each $t > 0$, $e^{-t \mathcal{A}}$ is a compact operator on X .
- II. Each weakly convergent sequence in \mathbf{S} converges strongly in \mathbf{S} .
- III. Each weakly convergent sequence in \mathbf{T} converges strongly in \mathbf{T} .

PROOF. $I \Rightarrow II$. A weakly convergent sequence in \mathbf{S} converges, for some $t > 0$, weakly in X_t . See Theorem 3.5. Because of the assumption the natural injection $X_t \subset X_\alpha$, $0 < \alpha < t$, is compact. Cf. the proof of Theorem 1.11. But then our sequence converges strongly in X_α .

$II \Rightarrow I$. Take any sequence $\{f_n\} \subset X$, $f_n \rightarrow 0$ weakly in X . For each $t > 0$ and each $F \in \mathbf{T}$ we have $\langle e^{-t \mathcal{A}} f_n, F \rangle \rightarrow 0$. Thus $e^{-t \mathcal{A}} f_n \rightarrow 0$ weakly in \mathbf{S} . Because of

the assumption $e^{-t\mathcal{A}}f_n \rightarrow 0$ strongly in \mathbf{S} . And so $\|e^{-t\mathcal{A}}f_n\| \rightarrow 0$. This shows that $e^{-t\mathcal{A}}$ must be compact.

I \Rightarrow III. Let $\{F_n\} \subset \mathcal{T}$. Suppose $\forall g \in \mathbf{S} \langle g, F_n \rangle \rightarrow 0$. Then

$$\forall h \in \mathbf{X} \forall \alpha > 0 \langle e^{-\alpha\mathcal{A}}h, F_n \rangle = \langle h, F_n(\alpha) \rangle \rightarrow 0.$$

This means that $\forall \alpha > 0 F_n(\alpha) \rightarrow 0$ weakly in \mathbf{X} . Using the compactness of $e^{-\beta\mathcal{A}}$, $\beta > 0$, we find that $F_n(\alpha + \beta) = e^{-\beta\mathcal{A}}F_n(\alpha) \rightarrow 0$ strongly in \mathbf{X} . Therefore $\forall t > 0 \|F_n(t)\| \rightarrow 0$.

III \Rightarrow I. Let $\{v_n\} \subset \mathbf{X}$ be such that $v_n \rightarrow 0$ weakly in \mathbf{X} . Then $v_n \rightarrow 0$ weakly in \mathcal{T} because $\forall g \in \mathbf{S} \langle g, v_n \rangle = \langle g, v_n \rangle \rightarrow 0$. Now the assumption says $v_n \rightarrow 0$ strongly in \mathcal{T} . This means $\forall t > 0 e^{-t\mathcal{A}}v_n \rightarrow 0$ strongly in \mathbf{X} . The compactness of $e^{-t\mathcal{A}}$ follows for each $t > 0$. \square

One might wonder whether in the case that $e^{-t\mathcal{A}}$ is compact for each $t > 0$ the strong and weak topologies coincide. This however cannot be true since a set which belongs to a weak base of 0-neighbourhoods always contains a closed subspace of finite codimension. Generally speaking such ‘‘large’’ sets do not fit in a strongly open 0-neighbourhood.

It is relatively simple to show that both in \mathbf{S} and \mathcal{T} the weakly compact sets are just the (weakly) closed and bounded sets. Then it is not difficult to prove that \mathbf{S} and \mathcal{T} with their strong topologies are *Mackey spaces*. That is the strong topology is the finest locally convex topology for which the dual of \mathbf{S} (respectively \mathcal{T}) is \mathcal{T} (respectively \mathbf{S}). (All spaces which are either barreled or bornological are Mackey. See [SCH] IV.4.)

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author thanks Dr. S.J.L. van Eijndhoven for his contribution to the revision of the manuscript.

REFERENCES

See Part A.